

Appl. No. 10/728,626  
Response dated November 19, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 24, 2004

### REMARKS

With regard to the requirement for election and restriction, which is the only point raised in the Official Action mailed on September 14, 2004, the Applicants hereby provisionally elect, with traverse, claim 1 for examination. Applicants respectfully submit that all aspects of claim 1 are contained in claims 7 and 21, rendering claim 1 generic.

Applicants note, however, that claim 7 has herein been amended to depend from claim 1, and respectfully request that at least claims 7 through 11 be examined in conjunction with claim 1. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's observation with respect to claim 1 and have amended claim 1 to include the step of fueling the turbine as suggested by the Examiner.

In light of the claim amendments submitted herein, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is generic, **rendering the restriction requirement moot**. In light thereof, Applicants request that the restriction be set aside and that examination proceed with respect to all pending claims.

If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Nov. 19, 2004

By: 

Linda A. Kubena  
Attorney for Applicant  
Attorney Registration No. 42,772

ExxonMobil Chemical Company  
Law Technology Department  
P. O. Box 2149  
Baytown, Texas 77522  
(281) 834-2429  
Fax: (281) 834-2495

Page 6 of 6

I:\BPC\Law\Prosecution\EMCC Prosecution\1999B052-4\1999B052-4-US-2004NOV19-Response to Restriction Req.doc